

(3) Horace and Juvenal differ in Their use of humiour in That Horace takes a much more light hearted approach, using hyperbole, colloquial language and irong is the bosis of his humote whilst Toweral takes a more serious stance, using declamation exaggerated thetoric and suppose, scorn as the basis of his humour. Firstly, The pussage of Herace Shows yn, te effectively low he uses sermo condianus (coloquel larginge) much of his himour as Criticises social climbers and as well as transette the persona of the poem. The use of collegual language in "Quo terdis." as well The brevity he exhibits in his que the poem a

light tone which enables him derive much humour. This humour is most excellently seen In the asynderion of Breechan, nutans, distorquens oculos' which very powerfully potrays a turny image of the men persona trying to get his friend to snatch him away from the boor. His close friend, is of course happy to lough at the persona at the persona's expense and This is signified in Horace's scorn when he inverts the name of his friend, in Aristius Fuscus in lin 61. This inversion Shows Mat Horace doesn't approve of the friends actions and is annoyed at him. This is further enhanced by the irong in 'qui

pulchre nosset which gently criticises his friend in a light hearted way. If anyone does know him well, he because he is a very good friend', will not help you in a difficult and embasrossing situation. This light heartedness is contrasted with Juvenal's satire which involves much more scorn and anger as he criticizes foriegners, Rome and Nerich. In many we are laughing at Unbricus to the personal and the consount of arger that has welled up un him in the city. Her in this way exas peration Ret dessures Tuveral & derives humour. Firstly the use of the indignost rheterical question like 291 shows 10

Unibricus is fed up with the city and its crinic, where things target pour man. The polyptoton in 'stat ... starique' establishes a scene of two enemies standing Lollous by the soon That Juveral Umbricus exhibits Through The harsh alliteration of f sounds in furiosis. ... for hor? He knows he is helpless against such a man, and although we feel deep enupathy for him his indignation and declaration creates humour. Furthermore this en the curus approva of curus - curus establishes immediately The demanding and harsh nature of Umbricus attacker However it is through the attackers reference

44/000

Celixi verveus at traditional dish of the poor that we begin to feel sympathy for Unbricus. Umbricus exaggeration of the 8 tuation in The experient at 'wat' in line 299 that humour is drawn. We realise That Umbricuis is The one that deserves bail not the attacker and hence although the we feel deep sympathey for him, the inversion on what Should happen to what does notes legal system. This is further enhanced by the monosyllabic ending in 'libertas pauperis haec est' and this demonstrates Umbricios helplessness and his indignatio which makes creates humour

Horace on the other hand, also uses irony and hyperbole Le create effective humour. The us of hyperbole in neum 1ecur were bilis' stro demonstrates How the persona of the poem is going on more than he needs &, Thus creating a histrionic effect. This histrionic effect ereales humour. part of Horace's satire is his word. The most notable is in the last pos line of
The poem in Sic me Appllo
servavit! Here, again exaggeration of the situation is created when he calls on the gods, but it is the irony in the fact that atthough the Boor is gone, the the personer must the associate

with him when he testifies as
a witness. This helpessness on
The part of the persona creates
humour.

Hence, Juvenal and Horace

100 1-11 in 110 use differ tremendously in the use of humour with Horace taking a more light hearted approach while Turenal takes a very approach.