

Both David Malout's An Imaginary Life and Williams Wordsworth's Romantic poetry explore, in detail, the tension between humanity and the natural world. Both writers, however, place the focus of their respective works on the overcoming or conquering of such leusion to achieve a relationship with nature that is full of vitality and significance. Despite being influenced by different cultural and social values, Malouf and Wordsworth both ultimatly have the same agenda; to prove that any tension that does exist between humanity and nature is a barrier that must be brought down. Only then can we feel "nature overflowing" our souls.

Cretical to Wordsworth's exploration of the tension between humanity and the natural world is the era in which Wordsworth lived. He was part of the Romantic



movement that strongly opposed the social upheavals such as the Industrial Revolution that were occurring at the time. Indeed, it was this "din of towns and cities" that, for Wordsworth, had created the tension between humanity and the natural world. In Tintern Abbey, we are consistently reminded that nature should be the "food for future years" by which to survive by. Thus the cultural context & Further, wordsworth is influenced by the type of 'hature' he wishes to interact with. Unlike the hash "lofty cliffs" in ovids wor exiled world, wordsworth innuences hundly in a typically English landscape; "hedgerows" "cottages" and "lines of sportive wood". Novetheless, despite there varying definitions of the wild? both writers still acknowledge the tension that manably occurs.

wordsworth relies on heavy religious connotations



to not only explore the tension between humanity and the natural world, but also provide a means by which to break down the tension. Nature to Wordsworth is a source of pleasure, "when like a row! bounded d'er the mountains", a comforter, "How oft in spirit, have I turned to thee", but most importantly a spiritual embodiment of God, "And I have felt a presence that disturbs me with the joy of elevated thought" once again, this is very much a reflection of Wordsworth's cultural values, and is different from Ovid's approach to breaking down the tension between humanity and the natural world. Malouf's Ovid, vulke the pantheistic speakers in Wordsworth's poetry, sees religious as a perhaps creating some of the tension that occurs. Ovid paints a fairly perjorative view of the 'gods', saying "if the Gods are with



you there, then it is because you...conjured them up..." Indeed, Malout, through Ovid, suggests that no God is needed in order to reach an unbridled union with nature, where "everything hours in sympathy". This we can see both writers was use concepts of religion, or indeed lack of it, in order to explore possible solutions to the tension that is naturally between humanity an 'the wild'.

Both Malouf and Wordsworth further explore tension and man's relationship with nature through language and imagination. This is seen clearly in Wordsworth's The Solitary leaper. For Wordsworth, the image of a "solitary highland lass" ultimatly conjures up numerous images of the natural world and man's interaction with it, purely through his imagination.



This method is paralleled by Malout in the scene in which ovid is trying to understand a story that Ryzack is (singing) Like Wordsworth, oxid is intrigued by the sound he hears, "it is the true! recognise". While Wordsworth feels frustration, "well no-one tell me what she sings", ovid too, is drawn to the sound, "I let the strange words fell my head, understanding nothing, but fascinated nonetheless". Then, both we their imagination to explore their respective relationships and views on the tension between man and nature. Wordsworth tunks of man's mindless destruction, " some battle, long ago" and other negative emotions "is it. some natural sorrow, loss or pain?", Ovid is determined to relate the story to the 'wild', "Is it a bear? A wolf?" Thus both writers clearly we the magination to untially



and nature and intrinsically provide solutions to overcome the barriers.

The concept of the progression from childhood to adulthood plays a vital role in both texts in exploring the tension between humanity and the natural world. The progression that ovid undergoes is a cyclical one, in which he realises he must return to his childhood to fully understand nature He finds hundt "more and more often slipping back to my childhood", and the link that duldren have with the wild is shown from the start when ovid, as a boy, says there is something in our nature that we share with wolves". Further, Malout was the personification of nature as a Child to emphase this



cyclical progression that Oved undergoes: from saying " the diffs oblique against the sky, the sea leader beyond" to " everything hours in sig symphathy". Wordsworth, too, recognises the significance of childhood, however undergoes a more lineal progression. In the Ptelode, he says "I was fostered alke by beauty and by fear", however it was these "early turse pains and nuseries" that have led to a "calmer existance". Thus once again both writers me a simular concept to explore the evolving relationship between man housementy and the natural world through maturity. In doing so, they also provide solutions or suggestions on how we might break down this tension.

Thus it can be seen that both



Malouf and Wordsworth we common themes to explore the of tension between humanity and the natural world. The 'natural world' in both texts axis different with regards to cultural and social context, as is the situations in which they 'the wild' is explored. The wild is the natural world, the suital, annual world, the edge, are or simply some other plane of consciousness Indeed the term is used in its most esoteric serse. Das The main theme, however of both writer, is to overcome this tension and recognize, as ovid did, that "between our bodies and the varietie there is a vinty and commerce."